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## New Marquette Law School Poll survey of Wisconsin voters finds Johnson leading Barnes in Senate race, Evers and Michels in a gubernatorial toss-up

## Please note: Complete Poll results and methodology information can be found online at law.marquette.edu/poll

MILWAUKEE - A new Marquette Law School Poll survey of Wisconsin finds a wider margin in the U.S. Senate race than a month ago. Among likely voters, Sen. Ron Johnson is supported by $52 \%$ and Lt. Gov. Mandela Barnes is the choice of $46 \%$. In September, among likely voters, Johnson received 49\% and Barnes $48 \%$. All vote results include undecided voters who lean to a candidate.

The governor's race has tightened to a tossup: Among likely voters, $47 \%$ support Democrat incumbent Gov. Tony Evers, while $46 \%$ favor the Republican challenger, Tim Michels. The independent candidate, Joan Beglinger, is chosen by $4 \%$, while $1 \%$ don't know for whom they will vote. Beglinger ended her campaign on Sept. 6 but will remain on the November ballot. In September, among likely voters, Evers received $47 \%$, Michels $44 \%$, and Beglinger $5 \%$.

The survey was conducted Oct. 3-9, 2022, interviewing 801 Wisconsin registered voters, with a margin of error of $+/-4.3$ percentage points. The margin of error among the 652 likely voters is $+/-4.8$ percentage points. The poll completed 596 of these interviews prior to the debate between the U.S. Senate candidates on Oct. 7.

Table 1 shows the vote preference for governor among likely voters, from August to October, and among registered voters since June. Beglinger was not included in the June survey. The results among all registered voters are more favorable to the Democratic candidates in both the governor and senate races, while totals for likely voters are, relatively, favorable for the Republican candidates. (All results in the tables are stated as percentages; the precise wording of the questions can be found in the online link noted above.)

Table 1: Vote for Governor
(a) Likely voters

Poll dates Evers Michels Beglinger Other Don't know Refused

| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 47 | 46 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 47 | 44 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 48 | 44 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 |

(b) Registered voters

Poll dates Evers Michels Beglinger Other Don't know Refused

| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 46 | 41 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 44 | 43 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 45 | 43 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 48 | 41 | N/A | 2 | 8 | 2 |

Table 2 shows the trend in support for the Senate candidates among likely voters from August to October and among registered voters since June.

Table 2: Vote for U.S. Senate
(a) Likely voters

| Poll dates | Barnes | Johnson | Neither | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 46 | 52 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 48 | 49 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 52 | 45 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

(b) Registered voters

| Poll dates | Barnes | Johnson | Neither | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/3-9/22 | 47 | 47 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 47 | 48 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 51 | 44 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 46 | 44 | 1 | 7 | 2 |

Partisan support for the candidates in the race for governor is shown in Table 3 among likely voters. Both Democratic and Republican voters are strongly unified behind their respective party's candidates, with $96 \%$ of Democrats supporting Evers and $88 \%$ of Republicans supporting Michels, a small decline in GOP support for Michels since September. Forty-three percent of independents back Evers, while 44\% prefer Michels, a tightening of preferences among independents since September and August. The independent candidate, Beglinger, receives 7\% from independent voters, 4\% from Republicans, and $1 \%$ from Democrats.

Table 3: Vote for governor, by party identification, among likely voters
(a) October

| Party ID | Evers | Michels | Beglinger | Other | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | 6 | 88 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Independent | 43 | 44 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Democrat | 96 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(b) September

| Party ID | Evers | Michels | Beglinger |  | Other | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refused |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 3 | 92 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Independent | 45 | 39 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Democrat | 95 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(c) August

| Party ID | Evers | Michels | Beglinger | Other | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | 5 | 92 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Independent | 49 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| Democrat | 94 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Partisan support for the U.S. Senate candidates is shown in Table 4 among likely voters. Partisans are strongly aligned with their party's candidates, with $93 \%$ of Democrats supporting Barnes and $96 \%$ of Republicans supporting Johnson. Forty-five percent of independents back Barnes, while $51 \%$ prefer Johnson. In September, $46 \%$ favored Barnes and $48 \%$ backed Johnson, a smaller shift among independents than seen between August and September.

Table 4: Vote for U.S. Senate, by party identification, among likely voters
(a) October

| Party ID | Barnes | Johnson | Neither | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | 3 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Independent | 45 | 51 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Democrat | 93 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

(b) September

| Party ID | Barnes | Johnson | Neither | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | 2 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Independent | 46 | 48 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Democrat | 96 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(c) August

| Party ID | Barnes | Johnson | Neither | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | 6 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Independent | 55 | 40 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Democrat | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Differences in the likelihood of voting, and in vote preference by certainty of voting, account for the different results between likely voters and all registered voters. Among Republicans, $84 \%$ say they are absolutely certain to vote in November's elections or have already voted, as do $83 \%$ of Democrats and $69 \%$ of independents. Certainty of voting by party is shown in Table 5. In September, Democrats were slightly more likely than Republicans to say they were certain to vote.

Table 5: What are the chances that you will vote in the November 2022 general election for governor, Congress, and other offices - are you absolutely certain to vote, very likely to vote, are the chances 50-50, or don't you think you will vote? (Certain includes those who have already voted) by party identification
(a) October

| Party ID | Absolutely certain | Very likely | $50-50$ | Will not vote |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | 84 | 11 | 4 | 1 |
| Independent | 69 | 17 | 11 | 3 |
| Democrat | 83 | 10 | 7 | 0 |

(b) September

| Party ID | Absolutely certain | Very likely | $50-50$ | Will not vote |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | 77 | 16 | 3 | 3 |
| Independent | 71 | 13 | 12 | 3 |
| Democrat | 80 | 12 | 7 | 0 |

(c) August

| Party ID | Absolutely certain | Very likely | $50-50$ | Will not vote |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | 83 | 11 | 4 | 2 |
| Independent | 66 | 16 | 14 | 3 |
| Democrat | 82 | 8 | 5 | 5 |

The effect of different levels of turnout on vote for governor is shown in Table 6. The first row shows preference among all registered voters, with the second row showing the results for an electorate composed of those either "absolutely certain" to vote or "very likely" to vote. The third row shows the results among only the most likely voters: those who say they are absolutely certain to vote (a category generally said to constitute "likely voters").

| How likely to vote | Evers | Michels | Beglinger | Other | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All registered voters | 46 | 41 | 7 | 1 | 3 |
| Absolutely certain or very likely to vote | 47 | 44 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Absolutely certain to vote only | 47 | 46 | 4 | 1 | 1 |

The vote preferences of those less than certain to vote differ from the preferences among those describing themselves as certain to vote, which also affects the difference in vote margin between likely voters and all registered voters. Table 7 shows vote for governor comparing those absolutely certain to vote and those who say they are not certain to vote.

Table 7: Vote for governor by certain or less than certain to vote

| Certainty of voting | Evers | Michels | Beglinger | Other | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolutely certain | 47 | 46 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Less than certain | 45 | 26 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 0 |

Table 8 shows the vote for U.S. Senate by likelihood of voting groupings.
Table 8: Vote for U.S. Senate by certainty of voting

| How likely to vote | Barnes | Johnson | Neither | Don’t know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All registered voters | 47 | 47 | 4 | 2 |
| Absolutely certain or very likely to vote | 47 | 49 | 2 | 2 |
| Absolutely certain to vote only | 46 | 52 | 1 | 1 |

Table 9 shows vote preference for Senate comparing those absolutely certain to vote and those who say they are not certain to vote. As with the vote for governor, candidate preference differs by certainty of voting.

Table 9: Vote for U.S. Senate, by certain or less than certain to vote

| Certainty of voting | Barnes | Johnson | Neither | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolutely certain | 46 | 52 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Less than certain | 51 | 31 | 12 | 6 | 1 |

## Perceived candidate ideology

Table 10 shows the perceived ideology of the Senate candidates, from "very liberal" to "very conservative." For comparison, the self-described ideology of voters is included in the table. Both candidates are perceived as more strongly ideological than voters describe themselves. Voters are much more likely to describe themselves as "moderate" than to describe either candidate that way. Barnes is seen as "very liberal" by $32 \%$, and Johnson is seen as "very conservative" by $37 \%$. By comparison, $6 \%$ describe themselves as "very liberal" and $9 \%$ say they are "very conservative."

Table 10: Perceived ideology of Senate candidates (among registered voters)

| Perceived ideology: | Very <br> liberal | Liberal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Moderate Conservative | Very |
| :---: |
| conservative | | Don't |
| :---: |
| know |

The candidates for governor are also seen as more ideological than the public sees itself, as shown in Table 11. Evers is seen as "very liberal" by $25 \%$, and Michels is seen as "very conservative" by $32 \%$. More see Evers as "moderate," $23 \%$, than see Michels that way, $8 \%$. Neither matches the percent of selfdescribed moderates in the electorate.

Table 11: Perceived ideology of gubernatorial candidates (among registered voters)

| Perceived ideology: | Very liberal | Liberal | Moderate | Conservative | Very conservative | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tony Evers | 25 | 35 | 23 | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| Tim Michels | 2 | 3 | 8 | 36 | 32 | 18 |
| Voter's self-description | 6 | 18 | 31 | 32 | 9 | 3 |

Perception of the candidates' ideology varies with the voters' self-described ideology. Voters who are very liberal, for example, tend to describe the Republican candidates as "very conservative," while those who are very conservative tend to describe the Democratic candidates as "very liberal." Those candidates in the same ideological camp as the voter are generally not seen as being so extreme, although voters who describe themselves as very conservative are somewhat more likely than not to describe Johnson as also very conservative. These relationships are shown in Table 12.

Table 12: Perceived candidate ideology, by self-described ideology (among registered voters)

## (a) Mandela Barnes

| Voter's self-description | Very liberal | Liberal | Moderate | Conservative | Very conservative |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very liberal | 14 | 66 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| Liberal | 9 | 54 | 27 | 0 | 2 |
| Moderate | 18 | 33 | 25 | 6 | 1 |
| Conservative | 54 | 21 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| Very conservative | 66 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 3 |

## Voter's self-description Very liberal Liberal Moderate Conservative Very conservative

| Very liberal | 5 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 70 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liberal | 0 | 1 | 4 | 26 | 59 |
| Moderate | 2 | 4 | 11 | 33 | 35 |
| Conservative | 1 | 1 | 9 | 59 | 18 |
| Very conservative | 1 | 2 | 6 | 41 | 47 |

(c) Tony Evers

| Voter's self-description | Very liberal | Liberal | Moderate | Conservative | Very conservative |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very liberal | 13 | 49 | 35 | 0 | 2 |  |
| Liberal | 5 | 58 | 30 | 4 | 1 |  |
| Moderate | 15 | 25 | 38 | 10 | 1 |  |
| Conservative | 42 | 32 | 11 | 6 | 2 |  |
| Very conservative | 52 | 31 | 5 | 3 | 3 |  |
| (d) Tim Michels |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Voter's self-description | Very liberal | Liberal | Moderate | Conservative | Very conservative |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very liberal | 2 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 69 |
| Liberal | 0 | 1 | 6 | 23 | 47 |
| Moderate | 4 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 38 |
| Conservative | 3 | 1 | 9 | 58 | 15 |
| Very conservative | 0 | 3 | 12 | 49 | 27 |

## Perceived candidate traits

Table 13 shows the favorable and unfavorable ratings of the candidates since June, along with those respondents who say they haven't heard enough or don't know.

The non-incumbents have become substantially better known following their primary victories on Aug. 9, although they remain less well known than the incumbents.

Table 13: Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of [INSERT NAME] or haven't you heard enough about them yet? (among registered voters)
(a) Evers

| Poll dates | Favorable | Unfavorable | Haven't heard enough | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 44 | 46 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 45 | 45 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 46 | 41 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 44 | 42 | 11 | 2 | 0 |

(b) Michels

| Poll dates | Favorable | Unfavorable | Haven't heard enough | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 36 | 36 | 20 | 7 | 1 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 34 | 39 | 19 | 8 | 1 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 33 | 33 | 24 | 10 | 0 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 22 | 22 | 51 | 5 | 0 |

(c) Beglinger

| Poll dates | Favorable | Unfavorable | Haven’t heard enough | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 1 | 6 | 66 | 26 | 1 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 3 | 6 | 63 | 28 | 0 |
| (d) Barnes |  |  |  |  |  |


| Poll dates | Favorable | Unfavorable | Haven't heard enough | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 39 | 40 | 15 | 6 | 0 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 33 | 32 | 25 | 9 | 1 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 37 | 22 | 30 | 11 | 0 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 21 | 16 | 57 | 6 | 0 |

(e) Johnson

| Poll dates | Favorable | Unfavorable | Haven't heard enough | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 41 | 45 | 9 | 4 | 1 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 39 | 47 | 11 | 3 | 1 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 38 | 47 | 9 | 6 | 0 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 37 | 46 | 14 | 2 | 0 |

Table 14 shows the perceptions of which candidates better understand the problems of ordinary people in Wisconsin.

Table 14: Who do you think better understands the problems faced by ordinary people in Wisconsin, ...? (among registered voters)
(a) ... Tony Evers or Tim Michels?

| Poll dates | Tony Evers | Tim Michels | Both | Neither | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 47 | 39 | 0 | 5 | 7 |

(b) ... Mandela Barnes or Ron Johnson?

| Poll dates | Mandela Barnes | Ron Johnson | Both | Neither | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 47 | 40 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 0 |

Table 15 shows the perception that candidates "share my values." The relative lack of familiarity with the non-incumbent candidates, Michels and Barnes, is evident in the higher percentages that say they "don't know" about them compared to the two incumbents, Evers and Johnson.

Table 15: For each of the following candidates, would you say they are someone who shares your values or don't they share your values? (among registered voters)

## Candidate Shares values Doesn't share values Don't know

| Evers | 48 | 47 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michels | 43 | 45 | 12 |
| Barnes | 44 | 44 | 12 |
| Johnson | 46 | 47 | 7 |

## Evers job approval

Table 16 shows approval of how Evers has handled his job as governor since February 2022.
Table 16: Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way Tony Evers is handling his job as Governor of Wisconsin? (among registered voters)

| Poll dates | Net approval | Approve | Disapprove | Don't know |  | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | -2 | 46 | 48 | 5 | 1 |  |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | -3 | 44 | 47 | 8 | 0 |  |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 2 | 47 | 45 | 8 | 1 |  |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 3 | 48 | 45 | 6 | 1 |  |
| $4 / 19-24 / 22$ | 6 | 49 | 43 | 7 | 1 |  |
| $2 / 22-27 / 22$ | 9 | 50 | 41 | 8 | 1 |  |

## Important issues

In each Marquette Law School Poll since August 2021, respondents have been asked to rate how concerned they are with a variety of issues. Table 17 shows the concern with nine issues in the current survey, sorted from highest to lowest percent saying they are "very concerned."

Table 17: How concerned are you about each of the following? Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, not too concerned, or not at all concerned with ... (among registered voters)

| Issue | Very <br> concerned | Somewhat <br> concerned | Not too <br> concerned | Not at all <br> concerned |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inflation | 68 | 25 | 5 | 1 |
| Public Schools | 60 | 29 | 6 | 3 |
| Gun violence | 60 | 22 | 9 | 5 |
| Abortion policy | 56 | 22 | 10 | 8 |
| Crime | 56 | 29 | 11 | 3 |
| Accurate vote count | 52 | 23 | 13 | 10 |
| Taxes | 47 | 36 | 12 | 3 |
| Illegal immigration | 40 | 23 | 23 | 13 |
| Coronavirus | 13 | 33 | 25 | 28 |

Inflation ranks as the top issue concern. After peaking in June, concern about inflation has been slightly lower since August, as shown in Table 18.

Table 18: Concern about inflation, Aug. 2021-Oct. 2022 (among registered voters)

| Poll dates | Very concerned | Somewhat concerned | Not too concerned | Not at all concerned |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 68 | 25 | 5 | 1 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 70 | 24 | 5 | 2 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 67 | 27 | 4 | 0 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 75 | 20 | 4 | 1 |
| $4 / 19-24 / 22$ | 69 | 23 | 6 | 1 |
| $2 / 22-27 / 22$ | 68 | 28 | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 26-31 / 21$ | 64 | 28 | 6 | 1 |
| $8 / 3-8 / 21$ | 49 | 35 | 11 | 3 |

Partisans differ substantially in their concern over particular issues, as shown in Table 19. Panel (a) is sorted by Republican concern, panel (b) is sorted by concern among Democrats and panel (c) is sorted by concern among independents. The entries are the percent of each partisan group who say they are "very concerned" about the issue. Republicans and Democrats have different top concerns, inflation and crime for Republicans, abortion policy and gun violence for Democrats, while independents put public schools as their top concern, followed by inflation.

Table 19: Issue concerns by party identification, percent "very concerned" (among registered voters)
(a) Sorted by concern among Republicans

| Issue | Republican | Independent | Democrat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inflation | 81 | 69 | 51 |
| Illegal immigration | 74 | 37 | 12 |
| Crime | 74 | 55 | 38 |
| Accurate vote count | 72 | 55 | 30 |
| Taxes | 59 | 53 | 25 |
| Public schools | 54 | 71 | 53 |
| Gun violence | 43 | 58 | 78 |
| Abortion policy | 36 | 53 | 81 |
| Coronavirus | 9 | 10 | 23 |

(b) Sorted by concern among Democrats

| Issue | Republican | Independent | Democrat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abortion policy | 36 | 53 | 81 |
| Gun violence | 43 | 58 | 78 |
| Public schools | 54 | 71 | 53 |
| Inflation | 81 | 69 | 51 |
| Crime | 74 | 55 | 38 |
| Accurate vote count | 72 | 55 | 30 |
| Taxes | 59 | 53 | 25 |
| Coronavirus | 9 | 10 | 23 |
| Illegal immigration | 74 | 37 | 12 |
| () Sorted by |  |  |  |

(c) Sorted by concern among Independents

| Issue | Republican | Independent | Democrat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public schools | 54 | 71 | 53 |
| Inflation | 81 | 69 | 51 |
| Gun violence | 43 | 58 | 78 |
| Crime | 74 | 55 | 38 |
| Accurate vote count | 72 | 55 | 30 |
| Taxes | 59 | 53 | 25 |
| Abortion policy | 36 | 53 | 81 |
| Illegal immigration | 74 | 37 | 12 |
| Coronavirus | 9 | 10 | 23 |

Gender differences also appear across issues. Women are notably more concerned with gun violence and abortion policy than are men, while men are substantially more concerned with taxes than are women. Inflation is a substantial and similar level of concern for both men and women. Gender differences in concern on other issues are modest.

Table 20: Issue concerns by gender, percent "very concerned" (among registered voters)
(a) Sorted by concern among women

| Issue | Male | Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gun violence | 46 | 72 |
| Inflation | 71 | 66 |
| Abortion policy | 48 | 63 |
| Public schools | 58 | 61 |
| Crime | 55 | 57 |
| Accurate vote count | 52 | 52 |
| Taxes | 55 | 41 |
| Illegal immigration | 40 | 40 |
| Coronavirus | 9 | 18 |

(b) Sorted by concern among men

| Issue | Male | Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Inflation | 71 | 66 |
| Public schools | 58 | 61 |
| Crime | 55 | 57 |
| Taxes | 55 | 41 |
| Accurate vote count | 52 | 52 |
| Abortion policy | 48 | 63 |
| Gun violence | 46 | 72 |
| Illegal immigration | 40 | 40 |
| Coronavirus | 9 | 18 |

## Abortion

The decision to overturn Roe $v$. Wade is opposed by a majority of Wisconsin registered voters, including majorities of independents and Democrats, while it is favored by a majority of Republicans in the state, as shown in Table 21. There has been little change in opinion on this since August.

Table 21: Do you favor or oppose the recent Supreme Court decision to overturn Roe versus Wade, thus striking down the 1973 decision that made abortion legal in all 50 states?, total and by party identification (among registered voters)
(a) October

| Party ID | Favor | Oppose | Haven't heard of decision | Don't Know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 33 | 60 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Republican | 61 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Independent | 30 | 60 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| Democrat | 7 | 89 | 1 | 3 | 0 |

(b) September

| Party ID | Favor | Oppose | Haven't heard of decision | Don't Know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 30 | 63 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| Republican | 59 | 29 | 0 | 8 | 4 |
| Independent | 28 | 66 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| Democrat | 3 | 95 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

(c) August

| Party ID | Favor | Oppose | Haven't heard of decision | Don't Know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 33 | 60 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Republican | 62 | 28 | 0 | 8 | 2 |
| Independent | 31 | 62 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Democrat | 5 | 92 | 0 | 2 | 1 |

The survey respondents overwhelmingly support allowing legal abortions in the case of rape or incest. Support within each partisan group is $70 \%$ or more, as shown in Table 22.

Table 22: Do you think Wisconsin should or should not allow a woman to obtain a legal abortion if she became pregnant as the result of rape or incest?, total and by party identification (among registered voters)
(a) October

| Party ID | Should allow | Should not allow | Don't Know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 83 | 11 | 4 | 2 |
| Republican | 72 | 19 | 7 | 2 |
| Independent | 83 | 9 | 5 | 3 |
| Democrat | 95 | 4 | 0 | 1 |

(b) September

| Party ID | Should allow | Should not allow | Don't Know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 83 | 10 | 5 | 2 |
| Republican | 70 | 20 | 8 | 3 |
| Independent | 83 | 9 | 6 | 2 |
| Democrat | 96 | 2 | 2 | 0 |

(c) August

| Party ID | Should allow | Should not allow | Don't Know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 88 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| Republican | 79 | 16 | 4 | 2 |
| Independent | 87 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| Democrat | 97 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

## Marijuana legalization

About two-thirds of registered voters, $64 \%$, favor legalization of marijuana, with $30 \%$ opposed. Table 23 shows the total and partisan views of this issue.

Table 23: Do you think the use of marijuana should be made legal or not? (among registered voters)

| Party ID | Yes, legal | No, illegal | Don't Know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 64 | 30 | 6 | 1 |
| Republican | 43 | 50 | 6 | 1 |
| Independent | 67 | 25 | 6 | 1 |
| Democrat | 82 | 14 | 4 | 0 |

Views of legalization of marijuana have changed significantly since 2013, as shown in Table 24.
Table 24: Do you think the use of marijuana should be made legal or not? since 2013 (among registered voters)

| Poll date | Legal | Illegal | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 21-24 / 13$ | 50 | 45 | 5 | 1 |
| $3 / 20-23 / 14$ | 42 | 52 | 6 | 0 |
| $9 / 11-14 / 14$ | 46 | 51 | 2 | 0 |
| $7 / 7-10 / 16$ | 59 | 39 | 1 | 0 |
| $8 / 15-19 / 18$ | 61 | 36 | 3 | 0 |
| $1 / 16-20 / 19$ | 58 | 35 | 6 | 0 |
| $4 / 3-7 / 19$ | 59 | 36 | 4 | 1 |
| $2 / 22-27 / 22$ | 61 | 31 | 7 | 0 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 69 | 23 | 8 | 0 |


| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 64 | 30 | 6 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Fear of crime

While $56 \%$ say they are very concerned with crime, a large majority, $77 \%$, say they feel safe going about their daily activities. Twenty-one percent of respondents say they worry about their safety. On this item, there are moderate partisan differences, and large majorities of each partisan group say they feel safe, as shown in Table 25.

Table 25: Do you feel safe from crime when going about your daily activities or are you worried about your safety? total and by party identification (among registered voters)

| Party ID | Feel safe | Worried | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 77 | 21 | 1 |
| Republican | 71 | 26 | 3 |
| Independent | 76 | 24 | 0 |
| Democrat | 86 | 12 | 1 |

While generally not worried about their personal safety, Republicans express considerably greater concern than Democrats about crime as an issue, as shown in Table 26.

Table 26: How concerned are you about each of the following... Crime? total and by party identification (among registered voters)

| Party ID | Very <br> concerned | Somewhat <br> concerned | Not too <br> concerned | Not at all <br> concerned | Don't <br> know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 56 | 29 | 11 | 3 | 0 |
| Republican | 74 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| Independent | 55 | 32 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| Democrat | 38 | 39 | 19 | 5 | 0 |

Worry about personal safety is greater in the City of Milwaukee than in other areas of the state, as shown in Table 27, which combines data from October 2021 and April, September, and October 2022 to provide regional comparisons.

Table 27: Do you feel safe from crime when going about your daily activities or are you worried about your safety? by region, Oct. 2021, April, Sept. \& Oct. 2022 (among registered voters)

| Region | Feel safe | Worried | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Milwaukee | 51 | 48 | 1 |
| Rest of Milwaukee media market | 74 | 24 | 2 |
| Madison media market | 82 | 17 | 1 |
| Green Bay media market | 86 | 14 | 0 |
| Rest of north and west of state | 85 | 15 | 0 |

While those in the Milwaukee area outside of the city are much less worried about personal safety than are residents of the city, they express similarly high levels of concern about crime to those in the city. Those in other regions of the state express lower levels of concern. Table 28 combines the August, September, and October polls to increase sample size in each region.

Table 28: How concerned are you about each of the following... Crime? by region, Aug., Sept. \& Oct. 2022 (among registered voters)

| Region | Very <br> concerned | Somewhat <br> concerned | Not too <br> concerned | Not at all <br> concerned | Don't <br> know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Milwaukee | 74 | 16 | 8 | 2 | 0 |
| Rest of Milwaukee media market | 67 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| Madison media market | 48 | 35 | 14 | 2 | 0 |
| Green Bay media market | 49 | 32 | 12 | 6 | 1 |
| Rest of north and west of state | 54 | 31 | 11 | 3 | 1 |
| 年 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Schools

If asked to choose between increasing state support for students to attend private schools or increasing funding for public schools, $28 \%$ favor more money for private school students, while $64 \%$ prefer more state money go to public schools. Views on this issue differ by party identification, as shown in Table 29.

Table 29: If you were making the choice for the next Wisconsin state budget between increasing state support for students to attend private schools and increasing state support for public schools, which would you favor, private schools or public schools? total and by party identification (among registered voters)

| Party ID | Private schools | Public schools | Both equally | Neither | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 28 | 64 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Republican | 46 | 45 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Independent | 30 | 59 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Democrat | 5 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Forty-two percent of registered voters say it is more important to reduce property taxes, while $52 \%$ say it is more important to increase spending on public schools. When asked in September, $41 \%$ said reduce property taxes and $51 \%$ said increase spending on public schools. Table 30 shows the partisan divide on support for property tax cuts vs. spending on schools in the September survey.

Table 30: Which is more important to you: reduce property taxes or increase spending on public schools?, total and by party identification (among registered voters)

| Party ID | Reducing property <br> taxes | Increasing spending on public <br> schools | Don't <br> know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 42 | 52 | 5 | 0 |
| Republican | 69 | 25 | 6 | 0 |
| Independent | 40 | 52 | 7 | 1 |
| Democrat | 16 | 82 | 1 | 1 |

Opinion on the choice between reducing property taxes and increasing funding for public schools has varied substantially over time, with more concern about property taxes prior to 2015, while support for school funding began to surge in 2015, subsiding somewhat beginning in late 2018. The trend is shown in Table 31.

Table 31: Which is more important to you: reduce property taxes or increase spending on public schools? (among registered voters)

| Poll dates | Reducing property taxes | Increasing spending on public schools | Don't know | Refused |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/3-9/22 | 42 | 52 | 5 | 0 |
| 9/6-11/22 | 41 | 51 | 5 | 3 |
| 8/10-15/22 | 43 | 52 | 5 | 0 |
| 4/19-24/22 | 46 | 50 | 4 | 0 |
| 8/3-8/21 | 42 | 52 | 5 | 1 |
| 2/19-23/20 | 38 | 56 | 5 | 1 |
| 1/8-12/20 | 41 | 55 | 4 | 1 |
| 1/16-20/19 | 39 | 55 | 6 | 0 |
| 10/24-28/18 | 40 | 55 | 4 | 1 |
| 10/3-7/18 | 37 | 57 | 6 | 1 |
| 9/12-16/18 | 38 | 57 | 5 | 0 |
| 8/15-19/18 | 32 | 61 | 5 | 1 |
| 6/13-17/18 | 35 | 59 | 5 | 0 |
| 2/25-3/1/18 | 33 | 63 | 3 | 1 |
| 4/7-10/15 | 40 | 54 | 5 | 1 |
| 5/6-9/13 | 49 | 46 | 4 | 1 |
| 3/11-13/13 | 49 | 46 | 4 | 1 |

State funding for police and sentencing preferences
There is very high support for the state to increase funding for police, with $75 \%$ in favor of more state aid for police and $19 \%$ opposed. Majorities of each partisan group support an increase in state support for police.

Table 32: Do you favor or oppose increasing state funding for local police in Wisconsin?, total and by party identification (among registered voters)

| Party ID | Favor | Oppose | Don't Know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 75 | 19 | 6 | 1 |
| Republican | 91 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| Independent | 72 | 20 | 6 | 1 |
| Democrat | 61 | 29 | 9 | 1 |

Opinion on harsher sentencing for crimes is evenly divided, with $41 \%$ saying sentences should be more severe for all crimes, while $46 \%$ oppose making sentences more severe. Opinion was also evenly divided on this question when last asked in July 2016, with $49 \%$ supporting more severe sentences and $48 \%$ opposed. There is a partisan divide on this issue, as shown in Table 33.

Table 33: Do you support or oppose making sentences more severe for all crimes?, total and by party identification (among registered voters)

| Party ID | Support | Oppose | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 41 | 46 | 12 | 1 |
| Republican | 65 | 23 | 11 | 1 |
| Independent | 34 | 51 | 14 | 2 |
| Democrat | 27 | 63 | 9 | 1 |

More people oppose increasing incarceration of juvenile offenders, $49 \%$, than support doing so, $35 \%$. In July 2016, $52 \%$ opposed increased incarceration of juvenile offenders and $46 \%$ supported it. Overall opinion and the views by party are shown in Table 34.

Table 34: Do you support or oppose locking up more juvenile offenders?, total and by party identification (among registered voters)

| Party ID | Support | Oppose | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 35 | 49 | 14 | 2 |
| Republican | 55 | 28 | 17 | 0 |
| Independent | 29 | 53 | 15 | 3 |
| Democrat | 23 | 66 | 10 | 1 |

Direction of state and family financial situation
A majority of respondents, $63 \%$, think the state is off on the wrong track, while $31 \%$ say it is headed in the right direction. The trend since 2020 is shown in Table 35.

Table 35: Thinking just about the state of Wisconsin, do you feel things in Wisconsin are generally going in the right direction, or do you feel things have gotten off on the wrong track? (among registered voters)

Poll dates Right direction Wrong track Don't know Refused

| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 31 | 63 | 6 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 40 | 53 | 7 | 1 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 35 | 56 | 9 | 0 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 37 | 56 | 6 | 0 |
| $4 / 19-24 / 22$ | 36 | 56 | 7 | 0 |
| $2 / 22-27 / 22$ | 39 | 53 | 8 | 1 |
| $10 / 26-31 / 21$ | 41 | 51 | 7 | 1 |
| $8 / 3-8 / 21$ | 39 | 52 | 9 | 0 |
| $3 / 24-29 / 20$ | 61 | 30 | 9 | 1 |
| $2 / 19-23 / 20$ | 52 | 39 | 8 | 0 |
| $1 / 8-12 / 20$ | 46 | 47 | 6 | 1 |

The percentage saying their family is "living comfortably" has declined somewhat since 2020, while those "just getting by" or "struggling" have risen in number, as shown in Table 36. The current numbers are closer to the first time the question was asked, in 2016 , than to the numbers in the middle period.

Table 36: Thinking about your family's financial situation, would you say you are living comfortably, just getting by, or struggling to make ends meet? (among registered voters)

| Poll dates | Living comfortably | Just getting by | Struggling | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 53 | 35 | 11 | 1 | 0 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 56 | 33 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 54 | 36 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| $8 / 3-8 / 21$ | 60 | 31 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 21-25 / 20$ | 67 | 26 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| $9 / 30-10 / 4 / 20$ | 60 | 30 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| $8 / 30-9 / 3 / 20$ | 60 | 32 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| $8 / 4-9 / 20$ | 63 | 28 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| $6 / 14-18 / 20$ | 61 | 31 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| $5 / 3-7 / 20$ | 61 | 28 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| $3 / 24-29 / 20$ | 59 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| $2 / 19-23 / 20$ | 62 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| $1 / 8-12 / 20$ | 63 | 28 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| $12 / 3-8 / 19$ | 62 | 27 | 11 | 1 | 1 |
| Poll dates | Living comfortably | Just getting by | Struggling | Don't know | Refused |


| $11 / 13-17 / 19$ | 66 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8 / 25-29 / 19$ | 59 | 30 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| $4 / 3-7 / 19$ | 59 | 31 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| $1 / 16-20 / 19$ | 60 | 30 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 24-28 / 18$ | 60 | 30 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 3-7 / 18$ | 63 | 29 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| $9 / 12-16 / 18$ | 56 | 32 | 12 | 1 | 0 |
| $8 / 15-19 / 18$ | 63 | 28 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| $6 / 13-17 / 18$ | 58 | 30 | 11 | 1 | 1 |
| $2 / 25-3 / 1 / 18$ | 54 | 34 | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| $6 / 22-25 / 17$ | 53 | 35 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| $3 / 13-16 / 17$ | 54 | 35 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 26-31 / 16$ | 50 | 38 | 15 | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 6-9 / 16$ | 47 | 34 | 11 | 1 | 0 |
| $9 / 15-18 / 16$ | 53 | 33 | 13 | 0 | 1 |
| $8 / 25-28 / 16$ | 53 | 37 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| $6 / 9-12 / 16$ | 50 | 38 | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| $3 / 24-28 / 16$ | 51 |  |  | 0 | 0 |

## Confidence in 2020 election

Opinion on the accuracy of the results of the 2020 presidential election continues to sharply divide the public, with $63 \%$ saying they are very or somewhat confident in the presidential election result and $34 \%$ saying they are not too confident or not at all confident in it. These views overall and by party identification are shown in Table 37.

Table 37: How confident are you that, here in Wisconsin, the votes for president were accurately cast and counted in the 2020 election?, total and by party identification (among registered voters)

| Party ID | Very <br> confident | Somewhat <br> confident | Not too <br> confident | Not at all <br> confident | Don't <br> know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 48 | 15 | 15 | 19 | 3 | 0 |
| Republican | 18 | 16 | 27 | 35 | 4 | 0 |
| Independent | 43 | 22 | 14 | 18 | 3 | 1 |
| Democrat | 88 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

Table 38 shows the trend in 2020 election confidence.

Table 38: How confident are you that, here in Wisconsin, the votes for president were accurately cast and counted in the 2020 election? (among registered voters)

| Poll dates | Very <br> confident | Somewhat <br> confident | Not too <br> confident | Not at all <br> confident | Don't <br> know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 48 | 15 | 15 | 19 | 3 | 0 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 46 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 1 | 0 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 48 | 18 | 15 | 17 | 2 | 1 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 51 | 16 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| $4 / 19-24 / 22$ | 48 | 16 | 12 | 23 | 1 | 0 |
| $2 / 22-27 / 22$ | 48 | 19 | 11 | 19 | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 26-31 / 21$ | 47 | 18 | 12 | 19 | 3 | 0 |
| $8 / 3-8 / 21$ | 48 | 19 | 15 | 16 | 1 | 0 |

Evaluations of Biden, Baldwin, and Trump
In October, $42 \%$ approve of the way President Joe Biden is handling his job, while 55\% disapprove. In September, $40 \%$ approved and $55 \%$ disapproved. Table 39 shows approval overall and by party identification.

Table 39: Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president?, total and by party identification, October 2022 (among registered voters)

| Party ID | Approve | Disapprove | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 42 | 55 | 2 | 1 |
| Republican | 6 | 93 | 0 | 0 |
| Independent | 37 | 59 | 3 | 1 |
| Democrat | 88 | 9 | 2 | 1 |

The trend in Biden approval since 2021 is shown in Table 40.
Table 40: Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president? (among registered voters)

| Poll dates | Approve |  | Disapprove | Don't know |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 42 | 55 | 2 | 1 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 40 | 55 | 4 | 0 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 40 | 55 | 5 | 1 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 40 | 57 | 3 | 0 |
| $4 / 19-24 / 22$ | 43 | 53 | 3 | 1 |
| $2 / 22-27 / 22$ | 43 | 52 | 3 | 2 |
| $10 / 26-31 / 21$ | 43 | 53 | 4 | 1 |
| $8 / 3-8 / 21$ | 49 | 46 | 4 | 0 |

Sen. Tammy Baldwin is seen favorably by $36 \%$ and unfavorably by $36 \%$. The trend in views of Baldwin is shown in Table 41.

Table 41: Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of Tammy Baldwin or haven't you heard enough about her yet? (among registered voters)

| Poll dates | Favorable | Unfavorable | Haven't heard enough | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 36 | 36 | 19 | 7 | 1 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 37 | 40 | 19 | 4 | 1 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 39 | 37 | 18 | 6 | 0 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 39 | 37 | 20 | 3 | 1 |
| $4 / 19-24 / 22$ | 43 | 36 | 17 | 3 | 0 |
| $2 / 22-27 / 22$ | 42 | 36 | 18 | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 26-31 / 21$ | 38 | 39 | 19 | 3 | 0 |
| $8 / 3-8 / 21$ | 40 | 38 | 19 | 3 | 0 |

Table 42 shows opinion of former President Donald Trump overall and by party identification in the October survey. A large majority of Republicans hold a favorable view of Trump, while majorities of independents and Democrats have an unfavorable opinion of him.

Table 42: Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of Donald Trump or haven't you heard enough about him yet?, total and by party identification, September 2022 (among registered voters)

| Party ID | Favorable | Unfavorable | Haven't heard enough | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 37 | 55 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| Republican | 78 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| Independent | 29 | 58 | 3 | 7 | 3 |
| Democrat | 4 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Views of Trump have barely changed since 2021, as shown in Table 43.
Table 43: Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of Donald Trump or haven't you heard enough about him yet? (among registered voters)

| Poll dates | Favorable | Unfavorable | Haven't heard enough | Don't know | Refused |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 3-9 / 22$ | 37 | 55 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| $9 / 6-11 / 22$ | 38 | 58 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| $8 / 10-15 / 22$ | 38 | 57 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| $6 / 14-20 / 22$ | 39 | 56 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| $4 / 19-24 / 22$ | 36 | 58 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| $2 / 22-27 / 22$ | 36 | 57 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| $10 / 26-31 / 21$ | 38 | 57 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| $8 / 3-8 / 21$ | 38 | 55 | 3 | 4 | 1 |

## About the Marquette Law School Poll

The Marquette Law School Poll is the most extensive statewide polling project in Wisconsin history. This poll interviewed 801 registered Wisconsin voters by landline or cell phone during Oct. 3-9, 2022. The margin of error is $+/-4.3$ percentage points for the full sample. The margin of error among the 652 likely voters is +/-4.8 percentage points. The poll completed 596 interviews prior to the debate between the U.S. Senate candidates on Oct. 7.

Some issue items were asked of half the sample. Those on Form A were asked of 402 respondents and have a margin of error of $+/-6.2$ percentage points. Form B items were asked of 399 and have a margin of error of $+/-6$ percentage points.

Items asked of half-samples include on Form A concern about the issues of public schools, inflation, taxes, and gun violence. Form B asked concern about the coronavirus pandemic, illegal immigration, and ensuring an accurate vote count. Form B also included items on property taxes and school spending, and the closing of schools and businesses at the beginning of the coronavirus pandemic. Concern about crime and abortion policy was asked on both Form A and Form B.

The partisan makeup of the sample, including those who lean to a party, is $45 \%$ Republican, $44 \%$ Democratic, and $9 \%$ independent. The partisan makeup of the sample, excluding those who lean to a party, is $30 \%$ Republican, $29 \%$ Democratic, and $40 \%$ independent.

Since January 2020, the long-term partisan balance, including those who lean to a party, in the Marquette Law School Poll has been 45\% Republican and $44 \%$ Democratic, with $9 \%$ independent. Partisanship excluding those who lean has been $30 \%$ Republican and $28 \%$ Democratic, with $41 \%$ independent.

The entire questionnaire, methodology statement, full results and breakdowns by demographic groups are available at law.marquette.edu/poll/results-and-data.
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